Newborn care practices and home-based postnatal newborn care programme – Mewat, Haryana, India, 2013
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Background: In India, the Home Based Postnatal Newborn Care programme by Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs) under the National Rural Health Mission was initiated in 2011 to reduce neonatal mortality rates (NMRs). ASHAs get cash incentives for six postnatal home visits for newborn care. We studied newborn care practices among mothers in Mewat, Haryana, having a high NMR and determined risk factors for unsafe practices and described the knowledge and skills of ASHAs during home visits.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted among mothers who had delivered a child during the previous seven months using cluster sampling. We interviewed mothers and ASHAs in the selected subcentres using semi-structured questionnaires on the six safe newborn care practices, namely safe breastfeeding, keeping cord and eyes clean, wrapping baby, kangaroo care, delayed bathing and hand washing.

Results: We interviewed 320 mothers, 61 ASHAs and observed 19 home visits. Overall, 60% of mothers adopted less than three safe practices. Wrapping newborns (96%) and delayed bathing (64%) were better adopted than cord care (49%), safe breastfeeding (48%), hand washing (30%), kangaroo care (20%) and eye care (9%). Cultural beliefs and traditional birth attendants influenced the mother’s practices. The lack of supervision by auxiliary nurse midwives (ANM), delayed referral and transportation were the other challenges.

Conclusion: Knowledge–practice gaps existed among mothers counselled by ASHAs. Poor utilization of reproductive and child health services decreased opportunities for ASHA–mother dialogue on safe practices. Recommendations included training ANMs, training TBAs as ASHAs, innovative communication strategies for ASHAs and improved referral system.

Globally, over 130 million babies are born every year, and almost 4 million die in the first four weeks of life. Presently, the infant mortality rate (IMR) for India is 47 per 1000 live births, and the neonatal mortality rate (NMR) is 32 per 1000 live births. India aims for a two-thirds reduction in IMR, from the 1990 level of 84/1000 live births to 28/1000 live births by 2015. The NMR contributes to 68% of the IMR, and any further reduction in IMR can only come from a decline in NMR. The effective interventions to reduce the NMR component of the IMR in settings with high mortality and weak health systems include outreach, family-community care, health education to improve home-care practices and a simultaneous expansion of clinical care. Several trials incorporating community-level interventions in South Asia (Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nepal) and sub-Saharan Africa (Nigeria, Malawi, Ethiopia) have shown reductions in neonatal mortality rates. Community-based trials from Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh in India showed 62% and 54% reductions in neonatal mortality, respectively, through multiple prenatal and postnatal home visits by trained community level health workers. Based on evidence from these trials, and WHO and UNICEF recommendations, the Government of India introduced home-based newborn care involving community-based workers, Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs), under the National Rural Health Mission in June 2011.

Mewat district in Haryana has the highest IMR of 91/1000 live births and NMR of 47/1000 live births in the state. The Home Based Post Natal Newborn Care (HBPNC) programme was implemented with technical assistance from the United Nations Office for Project Services-Norway India Partnership Initiative in addition to health system strengthening in Mewat. An ASHA
is paid a monetary incentive for making one prenatal visit (in eighth month of pregnancy) and six postnatal (on days 1, 3, 7, 14, 28 and 42) home visits.\textsuperscript{4}

State programme managers assessed the level of implementation of various interventions targeted to improve newborn care at the community level; mothers were usually dependant on traditional birth attendants (TBAs) who conducted home deliveries and subsequently advised them on newborn care. Therefore, we estimated the knowledge, attitude and practices among mothers regarding newborn care and determined the factors associated with unsafe neonatal care practices by mothers. We also estimated key indicators of the HBPNC programme for training, knowledge, timeliness, quality and documentation of ASHAs’ home visits.

**METHODS**

**Study area**

Mewat district in the south of Haryana has a population of 1 089 406.\textsuperscript{13} It has six rural blocks with 431 villages. Muslims comprise 82% of the population, and the majority of the people in the rural part of the district are farmers. The female literacy rate in the district is 36.6%.\textsuperscript{14} In an otherwise well-performing state this district is a high focus area due to its poor health indicators and infrastructure.\textsuperscript{15,16} Of the 715 ASHAs in the district providing newborn care, 438 had received two rounds of training on providing home-based care.

**Study population and study design**

We surveyed mothers who had delivered a live child within the reference period (1 July 2012 to 31 January 2013) and who resided in the same cluster health subcentre (health unit catering to a population of 5000 with an auxiliary nurse midwife [ANM] in charge) during delivery and during the data-collection period. The corresponding ASHAs in the subcentre areas were also included in the study. It was a cross-sectional study.

**Sampling procedure and sample size**

We used cluster sampling techniques with subcentres as Primary Sampling Units. The estimated number of pregnancies in one year is 35 000 (birth rate is 35/1000 live births); per the District Level Household and Facility Survey 3, 29% of mothers practised safe breastfeeding practices in Mewat.\textsuperscript{17} A relative precision of 20% (equivalent to an absolute precision of 5.8% on either side) was used and was rounded off to 6% to calculate sample size. Assuming the same 29% of mothers knew other safe newborn care practices, a 95% confidence interval, an absolute precision of ± 6% and design effect of 1.38, a sample size of 320 mothers was needed. We interviewed 20 mothers in each of the 16 clusters. We selected the clusters using probability-proportionate-to-size linear systematic sampling method. Mothers within the selected cluster were selected using the line list of deliveries within the reference period in the subcentre. A 5% non-response rate was seen among the mothers. The first respondent was selected randomly from the list, while the subsequent mothers were selected from the chronological order of deliveries, giving priority to more recent deliveries. All the ASHAs serving the subcentre areas were also interviewed.

**Data collection**

We used a questionnaire to collect data from mothers regarding socio-demographic characteristics; knowledge, attitude and practices of newborn care and their interaction with ASHAs during the course of their last pregnancy up to 42 days after delivery. ASHAs were interviewed regarding their knowledge; practices; important skills such as taking weight, temperature and recognizing danger signs; motivating factors and hindrances in providing home-based newborn care. ASHAs’ activities during home visits were observed using a checklist. Data were collected by trained field investigators and the principal investigator between January and March 2013. Operational definitions are shown in Box 1.

**Data analysis**

Data were entered and analysed using Epi Info (version 3.5.3) and MS Excel software. We estimated the proportion for awareness, practice of safe newborn care and reasons for not adopting safe practices among mothers. We also estimated the proportion of ASHAs giving correct advice on safe practices, training, timeliness, quality and documentation of home visits.

**Human subject protection**

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Epidemiology,
63 (36%) mothers had not been counselled on newborn care. Among the 155 hospital deliveries, 94% had a hospital stay of less than 48 hours post-delivery. One hundred and sixty-two (51%) mothers had three or more children, and the majority (275, 86%) had less than two years spacing between children.

Safe practices

Overall, 237 (74%) mothers started breastfeeding within the first hour, 279 (87%) fed colostrum, and 188 (58%) mothers exclusively breastfed their newborn. The baby was wrapped in multilayers by 308 (96%) mothers, and 64 (20%) of them practised the kangaroo care method. Delayed bath after 48 hours was given to babies by 205 (64%) mothers. Half of the mothers (158, 49%) did not apply anything on the cord stump, and 28 (9%) mothers kept the eyes clean. The proportion of mothers aware of, yet not practising, was highest for hand washing with soap and water before handling a newborn (45%), cord care (42%) and exclusive breastfeeding (32%) (Figure 1).

The common reasons stated by mothers for non-adoption of safe practices were that they prefer to follow tradition, advice/influence of local TBAs (midwives), family pressure and personal choice besides medical reasons. TBAs bathed the newborns immediately, used unsterile thread to tie the cord and advised mothers to coat the newborns’ cord stumps with multiple applications. Among the mothers who were aware of, yet not following safe practices, the TBA influence was reported as the leading cause by 37% of the mothers for the practice of delayed breastfeeding, 26% for not keeping the cord clean and by 17% for bathing immediately after birth. For hand washing with soap and water, 59% of mothers stated they “did not consider it necessary,” and 15% respondents said they had “no time” for this practice (Figure 2).

The common reasons stated by mothers for non-adoption of safe practices were that they prefer to follow tradition, advice/influence of local TBAs (midwives), family pressure and personal choice besides medical reasons. TBAs bathed the newborns immediately, used unsterile thread to tie the cord and advised mothers to coat the newborns’ cord stumps with multiple applications. Among the mothers who were aware of, yet not following safe practices, the TBA influence was reported as the leading cause by 37% of the mothers for the practice of delayed breastfeeding, 26% for not keeping the cord clean and by 17% for bathing immediately after birth. For hand washing with soap and water, 59% of mothers stated they “did not consider it necessary,” and 15% respondents said they had “no time” for this practice (Figure 2).

Risk factors for unsafe practices

ASHAs’ knowledge and service delivery

Among the ASHAs, 51 (83.6%) knew how to use the weighing scale, and 43 (70%) could correctly take a temperature using a digital thermometer. Although 90% of the ASHAs interviewed knew the importance of most of the safe practices, the lesser known and advocated safe practices were delayed bathing by 52 (85.2%)
ASHAs, kangaroo care method by 44 (72%), hand washing with soap and water before handling newborn by 41 (67%) and safe eye care by 24 (39%) of the ASHAs. Only 30% of the mothers reported ASHAs’ visits on day one of the birth (among home deliveries), and 15% of the mothers recalled all seven visits by an ASHA. On direct observation of 19 ASHAs, only 32% washed their hands before handling the baby, 40% gave...
DISCUSSION

Our study showed adoption of select newborn care practices among mothers; however, there were gaps in the adoption of a few practices either due to lack of awareness or influence of other stakeholders. ASHAs played an important role in influencing the mother’s behaviour; however, lack of utilization of various Reproductive and Child Health (RCH) programme services limited the opportunities for contact with the health system.

Improvement in adoption of breastfeeding practices, except exclusive breastfeeding, can possibly be attributed to the consistent efforts made by the ASHAs to educate mothers during home visits. A similar change was seen in a study in Sri Lanka on involvement...
Low awareness regarding kangaroo care and eye care led to low adoption of these practices. ASHAs were not emphasizing the multiple benefits of kangaroo care such as prevention of hypothermia and the role of skin-to-skin contact to promote longer duration of breastfeeding, increased bonding, reduced pain responses and crying in newborns. Adoption of these newer practices requires engaging not only the mothers but also the community at large using innovative communication strategies like messages, songs, flip charts and sharing of personal experiences as done in the Shivgarh intervention trial.

There was low utilization of RCH services in Mewat for family planning, antenatal care and delivery. This reduced the number of contacts of the mother with ASHA/ANM for counselling on birth preparedness, benefits of institutional deliveries and postnatal newborn care. The lack of acceptance of family planning may lead to poor birth spacing in this population that increases the risk of pre-term and low birth weight babies who are more susceptible to infections and hence mortality.

Cord care and hand washing were the two practices that were not adopted despite awareness. Hand washing was primarily influenced by low risk perception by mother and cord care was influenced by TBAs who conducted the home delivery. Similar low coverage of clean cord care was seen in Southern Nepal among home deliveries, increasing the risk of umbilical infections by 29% (due to topical applications) and 62% (due to other unclean practices). A randomized controlled trial in Pakistan showed that training TBAs and their integration into the health system to propagate newer safe practices, such as cord care, were effective in reducing neonatal mortality by 30%. We need to consider strategies to better engage the TBAs to increase the adoption of these practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsafe practices</th>
<th>Number of home visits</th>
<th>χ² for trend</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 7 visits</td>
<td>5–6 visits</td>
<td>3–4 visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathing baby before 48 hours %</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclean cord %</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exclusive breastfeeding %</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not feeding colostrum %</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No hand washing %</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No early breastfeeding %</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No kangaroo care %</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All practices %</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; NS, not significant

Table 2. Unsafe newborn care practices versus number of ASHA visits among mothers in Mewat, Haryana, India, 2013 (n = 320)
days by ASHAs are important for early recognition of feeding problems and treatable infections followed by prompt referrals to reduce morbidity and mortality in newborns. One of the key issues emerging from our study was poor supervision of ASHAs’ home visits by ANM. Observation revealed that inadequate documentation and inability to use instruments was noticeable among illiterate ASHAs who were formerly TBAs. In addition, lack of ANM training on HBPNC guidelines might be preventing effective supervision of ASHA visits and their documentation.

We have not included mothers who went to their maternal/native place outside the subcentre areas for their delivery in this study. One of our other limitations was a problem in recall by mothers of ASHA visits even though the reference period was seven months.

Our sample was representative of the district, and the results can be extrapolated to the entire district. This study has shown good implementation and outcomes in an underdeveloped district; therefore, the benefits of this programme might be similar in other districts with even better health systems.

In conclusion, mothers adopted a few safe practices; however, there were gaps in the adoption of several safe practices despite being informed of them. ASHAs seem to have played a key role in facilitating the adoption of safe practices; however, the quality of services can be further improved. There was a need for innovative training strategies to improve the ASHAs’ skills and a need for engaging communities including elder family members and TBAs in counselling sessions to increase community acceptance of safe practices. Practices such as kangaroo care and hand washing need more emphasis in the training. Strengthening delivery of RCH services would increase opportunities for ASHA–mother dialogue on safe practices. Training of TBAs and recruiting them as ASHAs would further strengthen the programme. Training of all ANMs in HBPNC guidelines to enable supportive supervision in the field was recommended. The responsiveness of the 102 ambulance services to newborn emergencies also needed improvement.

The local health authorities implemented some of our study recommendations immediately. Approximately 75 TBAs were inducted into the ASHA system, and a cadre of ASHA facilitators were trained for better supervision of home visits. There was re-training of ASHAs to enhance communication skills. A grievance unit was established to address delays in referral transport. We need follow-up studies to determine the effectiveness of the actions taken by the health authorities.
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